

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raferly
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raferly
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 3 Office Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.

<b>modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 2: Stakehill
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife. This development will not deliver high quality employment. It will only deliver low paid unskilled warehouse employment, which does not meet NPPF paragraph 83 requirement of this being "exceptional circumstances"to allow the development of green belt land. The light pollution caused by this development will have a massive impact on local residents and wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 3.1: Medipark
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 3.2: Timperley Wedge
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.

<b>or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 8: Seedfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Rafertry
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Rafertry
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 12: Beal Valley
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 13: Bottom Field Farm (Woodhouses)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 14: Broadbent Moss
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 15: Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletchers)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 16: Cowlshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 17: Land South of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 'In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be 'exceptional circumstances'. You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 18: South of Rosary Road
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 20: Castleton Sidings
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 21: Crimble Mill
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 22: Land North of Smithy Bridge
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 23: Newhey Quarry
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 24: Roch Valley
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JPA 25: Trows Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove building on green belt from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.

<b>co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building on green belt land is illegal. You have not followed the guidelines in NPPF paragraph 83 "In order to make a change to the Green Belt boundary in the local plan there have to be "exceptional circumstances". You are not following the wishes of the current residents this plan is greedy politicians and developers working hand in hand to build illegal developments on land that should be left undeveloped. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area. You are destroying natural habitats and populated by a large range of wildlife.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	All plans for building on green belt land should be removed from these plans.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	Bolton - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Bolton - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Bolton GBA01 Ditchers Farm, Westthoughton Bolton GBA02 Horwich Golf Club / Knowles Farm
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This is a pathetic attempt to make up for removing huge amounts of greenbelt land being developed in these plans. Corrupt politicians and developers ruining our local Beauty stops.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Do not develop any existing green belt land.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Oldham - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Pathetic attempt to develop an area already surrounded by amazing countryside whilst illegally developing existing green belt land in more built up areas of Oldham. Lying corrupt politicians who should all be removed from office. Unfit to represent the people who you are supposed to work for.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Leave the existing green belt land alone and undeveloped.
<b>Family Name</b>	Raftery
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287621
<b>Title</b>	Rochdale - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Rochdale - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response</b>	Rochdale GBA19 Land to west of Stakehill Business Park

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Pathetic attempt to develop an area already surrounded by amazing countryside whilst illegally developing existing green belt land in more built up areas of Oldham. Lying corrupt politicians who should all be removed from office. Unfit to represent the people who you are supposed to work for.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Leave the existing green belt land alone and undeveloped.